1 2 3 4 5	TOMAS E. MARGAIN, Bar No. 193555 HUY TRAN, Bar No. 288196 Justice at Work Law Group 84 W. Santa Clara St., Ste. 790 San Jose, CA 95113 Tel: (408) 317-1100 Fax: (408) 315-0150 Tomas@JAWLawGroup.com Huy@JAWLawGroup.com	EÒËZÇŠÒÖÆÄJEÜ EFHE			
67	Attorneys for Plaintiff NAHUM HERNANDEZ SANCHEZ				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
11					
12	NAHUM HERNANDEZ SANCHEZ,	Case No.: CV 13-02107 RMW-HRL			
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO REMAND CASE TO STATE COURT			
14	V.				
15 16 17	PEACOCK CUPERTINO, INC.; JAY AND RAM; RAMCHANDER GOPALSWAMY; and VEERAVENKATA DURGAPRASAD VASIREDDY,				
18	Defendants.				
19					
20	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS THROUGH THEIR				
21	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:				
22	1. The Complaint was filed on May	8, 2013. Docket No. 1. All Defendants have			
23	been served. Docket No. 6.				
24	2. The parties have met and conferred on the merits of the case and agree that the				
25	proper venue should be the Superior Court of California.				
-5	3. The parties agree to toll any statute of limitations in effect. Plaintiff shall suffer				
		-1-			

Case 5:13-cv-02107-RMW Document 13 Filed 09/27/13 Page 2 of 3

1	no prejudice in remanding this matter to the Superior Court of California, and all					
2	of his rights in litigation are preserved in relation to the date of the filing of the					
3	Complaint in this action.					
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED					
5		FOR PLAINTIFF				
6	DATED:	September 16, 2013	By:	//s//Huy Tran//s//		
7		Septemoer 10, 2013	Dy.	TOMAS MARGAIN HUY TRAN		
8				For Plaintiff		
9						
10		FOR DEFENDANTS				
11	DATED:	September 20, 2013	By:	//s// GAUTAM DUTTA		
12				For Defendants		
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
			_	7 _		

- 2 -

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN JOSE DIVISION 4 NAHUM HERNANDEZ SANCHEZ, Case No.: CV 13-02107 RMW-HRL 5 Plaintiff, [] ORDER GRANTING 6 THE PARTIES' STIPULATION TO v. REMAND CASE TO STATE COURT 7 PEACOCK CUPERTINO, INC.; JAY AND 8 RAM; RAMCHANDER GOPALSWAMY; and VEERAVENKATA DURGAPRASAD 9 VASIREDDY, 10 Defendants. 11 12 Based on the stipulation of counsel and good cause shown, this matter is remanded to the 13 Superior Court of California. All applicable statute of limitations are tolled and Plaintiff's rights 14 in litigation are preserved as of May 8, 2013, the date the Complaint in this action was filed. 15 16 IT IS SO ORDERED 17 J£0ä E₹H DATED: 18 RONALD M. WHYTE United States Magistrate Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24 25